## **REMARKS**

Claims 17-18, 24-32, 36-37 and 41-49 are pending in the present application with claims 24-30 are currently withdrawn from examination and reconsideration of the reinstatement of these claims is respectfully requested. Claims 19-23, 33-35 and 38-40 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 41-49 have been added.

This Amendment is in response to the Final Office Action mailed October 28, 2005. In the Final Office Action, the Examiner continued to rejected claims 17-20, 31, 32, 36, and 37 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e). Applicants have amended claims 17, 31 and 36. Reconsideration in light of the amendments and remarks made herein is respectfully requested.

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 17-20, 31, 32, 36, and 37 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Lau</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,825,084). Based on the amendments set forth in claims 17, 31 and 36, Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection and contend that the Examiner has not met the burden of establishing a *prima facie* case of anticipation.

As the Examiner is aware, to anticipate a claim, the reference must teach every element of the claim. "A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." Vergegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ 2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the...claim." Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ 2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

For instance, with respect to independent claim 17, *inter alia*, Applicants respectfully submit that <u>Lau</u> does not disclose the operations of (1) forming a first conductive strip along the first edge of the first bond shelf, and (2) forming a second conductive strip along the first edge of the first bond shelf. The first conductive strip wraps around and over the first edge of the first bond shelf to electrically couple a first bonding pad of the first plurality of bonding pads on the first bond shelf to a first power bus under the first bond shelf. The second conductive strip wraps

around and over the first edge of the first bond shelf to electrically couple a second bonding pad of the first plurality of bonding pads on the first bond shelf to a second power bus under the first bond shelf.

With respect to independent claim 31, *inter alia*, Applicants respectfully submit that <u>Lau</u> does not disclose the operation of removing a second portion of the conductive material along the inside surface of the bond shelf to form a pair of separate conductive strips along the inside surface of the bond shelf. A first conductive strip of the pair of conductive strips is coupled to a first bonding pad of the plurality of bonding pads coupled to a first power bus having a first voltage level. A second conductive strip of the pair of conductive strips is coupled to a second bonding pad of the plurality of bonding pads coupled to a second power bus having a second voltage level less than the first voltage level.

With respect to independent claim 36, *inter alia*, Applicants respectfully submit that <u>Lau</u> does not disclose the operation of removing a second portion of the conductive material along the inside surface of the bond shelf to form a pair of separate conductive strips along the inside surface of the bond shelf. A first conductive strip of the conductive material is coupled to both a first bonding pad of the plurality of bonding pads and a first power bus having a first voltage level. Similarly, a second conductive strip of the conductive material is coupled to both a second bonding pad of the plurality of bonding pads and a second power bus having a second voltage level less than the first voltage level.

Therefore, Applicants believe that independent claims 17, 31, 36, and their respective dependent claims are distinguishable over the cited prior art reference. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(e).

· Appl. No. 10/657,415 Amdt. Dated 12/29/2005 Preliminary Amendment for filing with RCE

## Conclusion

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: December 29, 2005

Reg. No. 39,018

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

**MAILING** 

**FACSIMILE** 

□ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and

deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: 12/29/2005

Trademark Office.

12/29/2005

Date